

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)		
	10/000,433		TOMIZUKA ET AL.		
	Examiner		Art Unit		
	Q. Janice Li, M.D.		1632		

All Participants:

(1) Q. Janice Li, PTO.

(2) Jeffrey C. Pepe, Appl. Rep.

Date of Interview: 3 June 2005

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

9, 11

Prior art documents discussed:

Status of Application: Pending

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The Examiner indicated that claims 9 and 11 have the same scope. Mr. Pepe agreed to cancel claim 11 by an examiner's amendment

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Q. JANICE LI, M.D.

PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)